Index to Volume 5

1 is the Winter 1994/95 issue
2 is the Spring 1995 issue
3 is the Summer 1995 issue
4 is the Autumn 1995 issue

AUTHORS

Adamowski, Scott J., and Nestor, Anthony S. Financing Remediation by Delisting and Selling Clean Portions of Affected Property, No. 3, 33-44

Alexander, Donald H., Soundararajan, R., and Morcos, Nabil. Physicochemical Mechanisms Controlling the Periodic Release of Flammable Gases from Hanford Tanks, No. 2, 91-111

Arora, Raghu, and Wilk, Charles M. Cement-Based Solidification/Stabilization of Lead-Contaminated Soil at a Utah Highway Construction Site, No. 3, 103-110

Astin, Michael S., Fleet, Terrance M., Grasmick, Daniel L., and Huismann, Scott S. Cost Effectively Decommissioning an Automotive Parts Facility, No. 4, 49-60

Barbour, Richard, Smith, Jeffrey M., and Wenz, Kenneth. Millville Site Multimedia Remediation Program, No. 4, 83-103

Barton, Kimberly K., Koury, John, and Portier, Ralph J. Low Intervention Soil Remediation Approaches, No. 2, 57-75

Blanchette, Daniel, Pakdel, Hooshang, Couture, Genevihve, Schwerdtfeger, Annette E., Roy, Christian, and de Caumia, Bruno. Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, No. 1, 111-130

Boland, Thomas M., Schuring, John R., and Chan, Paul C. Using Pneumatic Fracturing for In-Situ Remediation of Contaminated Sites, No. 2, 77-90

Booth, Steven R., Hardie, R. Wayne, and Showalter, W. Eric. Methods for Evaluating Investment Strategies for Environmental Technologies, No. 4, 17-27

Boothe, Steven R., and Henriksen, Anne D. Evaluating the Cost-Effectiveness of New Environmental Technologies, No. 1, 7-24

Bramley, David L., Curtis, Michael D., Kennett, Gary, Klei, Herbert E., and Klemmer, Christopher R. Analysis of Soil Vapor Extraction Laboratory Data To Obtain Equilibrium Constants, No. 3, 79-87

Breugelmans, Dirk, and Michiels, Thierry. In-Situ Bioremediation of an Aquifer Contaminated with 1,2-Dichloroethane, No. 1, 101-110

Brewster, Annette, and Flynn, Brian P. Monitoring Parameters and Statistics: A Land Treatment Unit Monitoring Case Study, No. 1, 65-76

Bryant, Chris, and Mentall, David. Current Regulatory Issues, No. 1, 131-136

Bryant, Chris. Recent Developments in Laws and Regulations, No. 4, 123-125

Bryant, Chris. Recent Developments in Regulations, No. 2, 145-148

Bryant, Chris. Recent Developments in Regulations, No. 3, 151-155

Bryda, Lisa K., and Simon, John A. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 1, 137-148

Chan, Paul C., Boland, Thomas M., and Schuring, John R. Using Pneumatic Fracturing for In-Situ Remediation of Contaminated Sites, No. 2, 77-90

Couture, Genevihve, Schwerdtfeger, Annette E., Roy, Christian, de Caumia, Bruno, Blanchette, Daniel, and Pakdel, Hooshang. Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, No. 1, 111-130

Cox, Robert E. Examining the Economics of Remediation by Fluid Injection with Vacuum Extraction, No. 2, 29-39

Cox, Warren B., Morse, Michael A., and Valdez, John M. A Conceptual Cost Estimate for Remediation Activities at a Multisite Federal Facility, No. 3, 111-122

Curtis, Michael D., Kennett, Gary, Klei, Herbert E., Klemmer, Christopher R., and Bramley, David L. Analysis of Soil Vapor Extraction Laboratory Data To Obtain Equilibrium Constants, No. 3, 79-87

de Caumia, Bruno, Blanchette, Daniel, Pakdel, Hooshang, Couture, Genevihve, Schwerdtfeger, Annette E., and Roy, Christian. Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, No. 1, 111-130

Droste, Edward X., and Marley, Michael C. Successfully Applying Sparging Technologies, No. 3, 55-68

Elmore, Andrew Curtis, and Skach, Robert F. Screening Method for Locating Groundwater Monitoring Wells, No. 1, 51-63

Erickson, Randall L. Protecting Environmental Audits and Reports: Balancing Self-Examination and Self-Protection, No. 2, 21-28

Exner, Jurgen H. Alternatives to Incineration in Remediation of Soil and Sediments Assessed, No. 3, 1-18

Fleet, Terrance M., Grasmick, Daniel L., Huismann, Scott S., and Astin, Michael S. Cost Effectively Decommissioning an Automotive Parts Facility, No. 4, 49-60

Flynn, Brian P., and Brewster, Annette. Monitoring Parameters and Statistics: A Land Treatment Unit Monitoring Case Study, No. 1, 65-76

Fox, Catherine A., and Keating, James. Review of Recent Literature, No. 2, 149-154

Fox, Catherine A. Recent Developments in Policy and Science, No. 4, 127-131

Fox, Catherine A. Review of Recent Literature, No. 3, 157-160

Fox, Catherine A. Review of Recent Publications, No. 1, 149-152

Freibert, Mary Joyce, Padovani, Steven J., and Mastrolonardo, Ray. Case Study: Conducting an Accelerated Cleanup at a Superfund Site in a Mixed Residential and Industrial Area, No. 4, 105-115

Fristad, William E. Case Study: Using Soil Washing/Leaching for the Removal of Heavy Metal at the Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, No. 4, 61-72

Fulton, David E. Techniques for Successfully Implementing In-Situ Remediation Systems, No. 4, 37-47

Gibson, Kathleen C., and Simon, John A. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 3, 143-149

Gibson, Robert J., Sherlock, M. Sean, and Smith, Diane R. Stigma Damages: Expect Déjà Vu All Over Again, No. 4, 29-36

Girovich, Mrak J., and Weissinger, Thomas R. Evaluation of a Chemical Stabilization Process, No. 1, 77-99

Grasmick, Daniel L., Huismann, Scott S., Astin, Michael S., and Fleet, Terrance M. Cost Effectively Decommissioning an Automotive Parts Facility, No. 4, 49-60

Hardie, R. Wayne, Showalter, W. Eric, and Booth, Steven R. Methods for Evaluating Investment Strategies for Environmental Technologies, No. 4, 17-27

Havener, Michael C., and Kulik, Ernest J. Environmental Project Management Using Fast-Track Methods To Save Time and Money, No. 3, 45-54

Henriksen, Anne D., and Boothe, Steven R. Evaluating the Cost-Effectiveness of New Environmental Technologies, No. 1, 7-24

Henriksen, Anne D. Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of the SEAMIST Borehole Liner System, No. 2, 113-137

Huismann, Scott S., Astin, Michael S., Fleet, Terrance M., and Grasmick, Daniel L. Cost Effectively Decommissioning an Automotive Parts Facility, No. 4, 49-60

Huling, Scott G., Pope, Daniel F., Matthews, John E., Sims, Judith, Sims, Ronald C., and Sorensen, Darwin L. Land Treatment and the Toxicity Response of Soil Contaminated with Wood Preserving Waste, No. 2, 41-55

Johns, Keith B., Ogilvie, Ken, and Spicuzza, Jack. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 4, 117-122

Keating, James, and Fox, Catherine A. Review of Recent Literature, No. 2, 149-154

Kennett, Gary, Klei, Herbert E., Klemmer, Christopher R., Bramley, David L., and Curtis, Michael D. Analysis of Soil Vapor Extraction Laboratory Data To Obtain Equilibrium Constants, No. 3, 79-87

Klei, Herbert E., Klemmer, Christopher R., Bramley, David L., Curtis, Michael D., and Kennett, Gary. Analysis of Soil Vapor Extraction Laboratory Data To Obtain Equilibrium Constants, No. 3, 79-87

Klemmer, Christopher R., Bramley, David L., Curtis, Michael D., Kennett, Gary, and Klei, Herbert E. Analysis of Soil Vapor Extraction Laboratory Data To Obtain Equilibrium Constants, No. 3, 79-87

Koshinski, Casimir J., Rose, Michael V., and Weyand, Thomas E. Mercury Cleanup: The Commercial Application of a New Mercury Removal/Recovery Technology, No. 3, 89-101

Koury, John, Portier, Ralph J., and Barton, Kimberly K. Low Intervention Soil Remediation Approaches, No. 2, 57-75

Kulik, Ernest J., and Havener, Michael C. Environmental Project Management Using Fast-Track Methods To Save Time and Money, No. 3, 45-54

Marley, Michael C., and Droste, Edward X. Successfully Applying Sparging Technologies, No. 3, 55-68

Martin, Nancy S. The Decatur County Landfill: One Community Turns Over a Clean Sheet of Paper, No. 2, 3-13

Mastrolonardo, Ray, Freibert, Mary Joyce, and Padovani, Steven J. Case Study: Conducting an Accelerated Cleanup at a Superfund Site in a Mixed Residential and Industrial Area, No. 4, 105-115

Matthews, John E., Sims, Judith, Sims, Ronald C., Sorensen, Darwin L., Huling, Scott G., and Pope, Daniel F. Land Treatment and the Toxicity Response of Soil Contaminated with Wood Preserving Waste, No. 2, 41-55

Maurer, Thomas K. Getting Remedial Action Plans Approved by State Agencies, No. 2, 15-20

Mentall, David, and Bryant, Chris. Current Regulatory Issues, No. 1, 131-136

Michiels, Thierry, and Breugelmans, Dirk. In-Situ Bioremediation of an Aquifer Contaminated with 1,2-Dichloroethane, No. 1, 101-110

Morcos, Nabil, Alexander, Donald H., and Soundararajan, R. Physicochemical Mechanisms Controlling the Periodic Release of Flammable Gases from Hanford Tanks, No. 2, 91-111

Morse, Michael A., Valdez, John M., and Cox, Warren B. A Conceptual Cost Estimate for Remediation Activities at a Multisite Federal Facility, No. 3, 111-122

Nestor, Anthony S., and Adamowski, Scott J. Financing Remediation by Delisting and Selling Clean Portions of Affected Property, No. 3, 33-44

Ogilvie, Ken, Spicuzza, Jack, and Johns, Keith B. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 4, 117-122

Padovani, Steven J., Mastrolonardo, Ray, and Freibert, Mary Joyce. Case Study: Conducting an Accelerated Cleanup at a Superfund Site in a Mixed Residential and Industrial Area, No. 4, 105-115

Pakdel, Hooshang, Couture, Genevihve, Schwerdtfeger, Annette E., Roy, Christian, de Caumia, Bruno, and Blanchette, Daniel. Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, No. 1, 111-130

Patterson, John. Case Study: On-Site Remediation of Soil and Groundwater for a Michigan Town's Water Supply, No. 4, 73-82

Pope, Daniel F., Matthews, John E., Sims, Judith, Sims, Ronald C., Sorensen, Darwin L., and Huling, Scott G. Land Treatment and the Toxicity Response of Soil Contaminated with Wood Preserving Waste, No. 2, 41-55

Portier, Ralph J., Barton, Kimberly K., and Koury, John. Low Intervention Soil Remediation Approaches, No. 2, 57-75

Roffman, Amiram, and Roffman, Haia. Assessing Cleanup Levels for Industrial Sites under State Risk Reduction Programs, No. 3, 19-32

Roffman, Haia, and Roffman, Amiram. Assessing Cleanup Levels for Industrial Sites under State Risk Reduction Programs, No. 3, 19-32

Rose, Michael V., Weyand, Thomas E., and Koshinski, Casimir J. Mercury Cleanup: The Commercial Application of a New Mercury Removal/Recovery Technology, No. 3, 89-101

Roy, Christian, de Caumia, Bruno, Blanchette, Daniel, Pakdel, Hooshang, Couture, Genevihve, and Schwerdtfeger, Annette E. Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, No. 1, 111-130

Sauer, Theodor C., and Uhler, Allen D. Pollutant Source Identification and Allocation: Advances in Hydrocarbon Fingerprinting, No. 1, 25-50

Schuring, John R., Chan, Paul C., and Boland, Thomas M. Using Pneumatic Fracturing for In-Situ Remediation of Contaminated Sites, No. 2, 77-90

Schwerdtfeger, Annette E., Roy, Christian, de Caumia, Bruno, Blanchette, Daniel, Pakdel, Hooshang, and Couture, Genevihve. Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, No. 1, 111-130

Seemer, Stephen W. From the Editor, No. 2, 1

Sherlock, M. Sean, Smith, Diane R., and Gibson, Robert J. Stigma Damages: Expect Déjà Vu All Over Again, No. 4, 29-36

Showalter, W. Eric, Booth, Steven R., and Hardie, R. Wayne. Methods for Evaluating Investment Strategies for Environmental Technologies, No. 4, 17-27

Simon, John A., and Bryda, Lisa K. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 1, 137-148

Simon, John A., and Gibson, Kathleen C. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 3, 143-149

Simon, John A., and Sobieraj, James A. Survey: Bioremediation Contractors Guarantee Performance, No. 4, 1-15

Simon, John A. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 2, 139-144

Sims, Judith, Sims, Ronald C., Sorensen, Darwin L., Huling, Scott G., Pope, Daniel F., and Matthews, John E. Land Treatment and the Toxicity Response of Soil Contaminated with Wood Preserving Waste, No. 2, 41-55

Sims, Ronald C., Sorensen, Darwin L., Huling, Scott G., Pope, Daniel F., Matthews, John E., and Sims, Judith. Land Treatment and the Toxicity Response of Soil Contaminated with Wood Preserving Waste, No. 2, 41-55

Skach, Robert F., and Elmore, Andrew Curtis. Screening Method for Locating Groundwater Monitoring Wells, No. 1, 51-63

Smith, Diane R. Environmental Mediation— Cutting to the Chase in Environmental Dispute Resolution, No. 1, 1-5

Smith, Diane R., Gibson, Robert J., and Sherlock, M. Sean. Stigma Damages: Expect Déjà Vu All over Again, No. 4, 29-36

Smith, Diane R. The Revenge of OSHA: The New Asbestos Regulations, No. 3, 131-142

Smith, Jeffrey M., Wenz, Kenneth, and Barbour, Richard. Millville Site Multimedia Remediation Program, No. 4, 83-103

Sobieraj, James A., and Simon, John A. Survey: Bioremediation Contractors Guarantee Performance, No. 4, 1-15

Sorensen, Darwin L., Huling, Scott G., Pope, Daniel F., Matthews, John E., Sims, Judith, and Sims, Ronald C. Land Treatment and the Toxicity Response of Soil Contaminated with Wood Preserving Waste, No. 2, 41-55

Soundararajan, R., Morcos, Nabil, and Alexander, Donald H. Physicochemical Mechanisms Controlling the Periodic Release of Flammable Gases from Hanford Tanks, No. 2, 91-111

Spicuzza, Jack, Johns, Keith B., and Ogilvie, Ken. Recent Developments in Cleanup Technologies, No. 4, 117-122

Uhler, Allen D., and Sauer, Theodor C. Pollutant Source Identification and Allocation: Advances in Hydrocarbon Fingerprinting, No. 1, 25-50

Valdez, John M., Cox, Warren B., and Morse, Michael A. A Conceptual Cost Estimate for Remediation Activities at a Multisite Federal Facility, No. 3, 111-122

Weissinger, Thomas R., and Girovich, Mrak J. Evaluation of a Chemical Stabilization Process, No. 1, 77-99

Wenz, Kenneth, Barbour, Richard, and Smith, Jeffrey M. Millville Site Multimedia Remediation Program, No. 4, 83-103

Weyand, Thomas E., Koshinski, Casimir J., and Rose, Michael V. Mercury Cleanup: The Commercial Application of a New Mercury Removal/Recovery Technology, No. 3, 89-101

Wilk, Charles M., and Arora, Raghu. Cement-Based Solidification/Stabilization of Lead-Contaminated Soil at a Utah Highway Construction Site, No. 3, 103-110

Williams, Steven N. Successful Contracting Based on Candor, Realism, and Common Goals, No. 3, 123-130

Yancheski, Tad B. Optimizing Data Usability in the Remedial Process, No. 3, 69-78

ACCELERATED CLEANUP

Case Study: Conducting an Accelerated Cleanup at a Superfund Site in a Mixed Residential and Industrial Area, Steven J. Padovani, Ray Mastrolonardo, and Mary Joyce Freibert, No. 4, 105-115

AIR SPARGING

Successfully Applying Sparging Technologies, Michael C. Marley and Edward X. Droste, No. 3, 55-68

AQUIFERS

In-Situ Bioremediation of an Aquifer Contaminated with 1,2-Dichloroethane, Thierry Michiels and Dirk Breugelmans, No. 1, 101-110

ASBESTOS

The Revenge of OSHA: The New Asbestos Regulations, Diane R. Smith, No. 3, 131-142

BIOREMEDIATION

In-Situ Bioremediation of an Aquifer Contaminated with 1,2-Dichloroethane, Thierry Michiels and Dirk Breugelmans, No. 1, 101-110

Literature reviewed

Bioremediation Resource Guide, EPA Technology Innovation Office, No. 1, 151

In Situ Bioremediation of Contaminated Unsaturated Subsurface Soils, Sims, Sims, DuPont, Matthews, and Russell, No. 1, 150

BIOREMEDIATION CONTRACTORS

Survey: Bioremediation Contractors Guarantee Performance, James A. Sobieraj and John A. Simon, No. 4, 1-15

CASE STUDIES

Case Study: On-Site Remediation of Soil and Groundwater for a Michigan Town's Water Supply, John Patterson, No. 4, 73-82

Case Study: Using Soil Washing/Leaching for the Removal of Heavy Metal at the Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, William E. Fristad, No. 4, 61-72

Cost Effectively Decommissioning an Automotive Parts Facility, Scott S. Huismann, Michael S. Astin, Terrance M. Fleet, and Daniel L. Grasmick, No. 4, 49-60

Millville Site Multimedia Remediation Program, Richard Barbour, Jeffrey M. Smith, and Kenneth Wenz, No. 4, 83-103

CATIONIC SURFACTANTS

Literature reviewed

Use of Cationic Surfactants to Modify Soil Surfaces to Promote Sorption and Retard Migration of Hydrophobic Organic Compounds, Wagner, Chen, Brownawell, and Westall (Environmental Science and Technology), No. 1, 149-150

CHEMICAL STABILIZATION

Evaluation of a Chemical Stabilization Process, Thomas R. Weissinger and Mrak J. Girovich, No. 1, 77-99

CONTRACTING

Successful Contracting Based on Candor, Realism, and Common Goals, Steven N. Williams, No. 3, 123-130

COST ESTIMATES

A Conceptual Cost Estimate for Remediation Activities at a Multisite Federal Facility, Michael A. Morse, John M. Valdez, and Warren B. Cox, No. 3, 111-122

COST EFFECTIVENESS OF TECHNOLOGIES

Evaluating the Cost-Effectiveness of New Environmental Technologies, Anne D. Henriksen and Steven R. Boothe, No. 1, 7-24

CURRENT REGULATORY ISSUES
Superfund Reform Act of 1994, Chris Bryant and David Mentall, No. 1, 131-136

DATA
Analysis of Soil Vapor Extraction Laboratory Data To Obtain Equilibrium Constants, Herbert E. Klei, Christopher R. Klemmer, David L. Bramley, Michael D. Curtis, and Gary Kennett, No. 3, 79-87
Optimizing Data Usability in the Remedial Process, Tad B. Yancheski, No. 3, 69-78

DISPUTE RESOLUTION
Environmental Mediation—Cutting to the Chase in Environmental Dispute Resolution, Diane R. Smith, No. 1, 1-5

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITS
Protecting Environmental Audits and Reports: Balancing Self-Examination and Self-Protection, Randall L. Erickson, No. 2, 21-28

ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT MANAGEMENT
Environmental Project Management Using Fast-Track Methods To Save Time and Money, Ernest J. Kulik and Michael C. Havener, No. 3, 45-54

EVALUATION OF INVESTMENT STRATEGIES
Methods for Evaluating Investment Strategies for Environmental Technologies, W. Eric Showalter, Steven R. Booth, and R. Wayne Hardie, No. 4, 17-27

FACILITY DECOMMISSIONING
Cost Effectively Decommissioning An Automotive Parts Facility, Scott S. Huismann, Michael S. Astin, Terrance M. Fleet, and Daniel L. Grasmick, No. 4, 49-60

FINANCING
Financing Remediation by Delisting and Selling Clean Portions of Affected Property, Anthony S. Nestor and Scott J. Adamowski, No. 3, 33-44

FLUID INJECTION WITH VACUUM EXTRACTION
Examining the Economics of Remediation by Fluid Injection with Vacuum Extraction, Robert E. Cox, No. 2, 29-39

GROUNDWATER
Case Study: On-Site Remediation of Soil and Groundwater for a Michigan Town's Water Supply, John Patterson, No. 4, 73-82
Screening Method for Locating Groundwater Monitoring Wells, Andrew Curtis Elmore and Robert F. Skach, No. 1, 51-63

Literature reviewed
Compilation of Ground Water Models, EPA, Office of Research and Development, No. 1, 151-152
Complex Mixtures and Ground Water Quality, Brusseau, No. 1, 150-151
Contaminant Spread and Flushing in Fractured Rocks Near Oak Ridge, Tennessee, Shevenell, Moore, and Dreier (Ground Water Monitoring and Remediation), No. 1, 149

HANFORD TANKS
Physicochemical Mechanisms Controlling the Periodic Release of Flammable Gases from Hanford Tanks, Donald H. Alexander, R. Soundararajan, and Nabil Morcos, No. 2, 91-111

HEAVY METAL REMOVAL
Case Study: Using Soil Washing/Leaching for the Removal of Heavy Metal at the Twin Cities Army Ammunition Plant, William E. Fristad, No. 4, 61-72

HYDROCARBON FINGERPRINTING
Pollutant Source Identification and Allocation: Advances in Hydrocarbon Fingerprinting, Theodor C. Sauer and Allen D. Uhler, No. 1, 25-50

HYDROCARBON-CONTAMINATED SOILS
Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, Christian Roy, Bruno de Caumia, Daniel Blanchette, Hooshang Pakdel, Genevihve Couture, and Annette E. Schwerdtfeger, No. 1, 111-130

INCINERATION
Alternatives to Incineration in Remediation of Soil and Sediments Assessed, Jurgen H. Exner, No. 3, 1-18

IN-SITU REMEDIATION
Techniques for Successfully Implementing In-Situ Remediation Systems, David E. Fulton, No. 4, 37-47
Using Pneumatic Fracturing for In-Situ Remediation of Contaminated Sites, John R. Schuring, Paul C. Chan, and Thomas M. Boland, No. 2, 77-90

LANDFILL
The Decatur County Landfill: One Community Turns Over a Clean Sheet of Paper, Nancy S. Martin, No. 2, 3-13

MERCURY
Mercury Cleanup: The Commercial Application of a New Mercury Removal/Recovery Technology, Michael V. Rose, Thomas E. Weyand, and Casimir J. Koshinski, No. 3, 89-101

MONITORING
Monitoring Parameters and Statistics: A Land Treatment Unit Monitoring Case Study, Annette Brewster and Brian P. Flynn, No. 1, 65-76
Screening Method for Locating Groundwater Monitoring Wells, Andrew Curtis Elmore and Robert F. Skach, No. 1, 51-63

MULTIMEDIA REMEDIATION
Millville Site Multimedia Remediation Program, Richard Barbour, Jeffrey M. Smith, and Kenneth Wenz, No. 4, 83-103

OSHA
The Revenge of OSHA: The New Asbestos Regulations, Diane R. Smith, No. 3, 131-142

PNEUMATIC FRACTURING
Using Pneumatic Fracturing for In-Situ Remediation of Contaminated Sites, John R. Schuring, Paul C. Chan, and Thomas M. Boland, No. 2, 77-90

POLLUTANT SOURCE IDENTIFICATION
Pollutant Source Identification and Allocation: Advances in Hydrocarbon Fingerprinting, Theodor C. Sauer and Allen D. Uhler, No. 1, 25-50

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN CLEANUP TECHNOLOGIES
Fast-Paced Changes for a Maturing Industry, John A. Simon, No. 2, 139-144
Funnel-and-Gate Systems Offer Innovative Solution to Ineffective Groundwater Pump-and-Treat Technology, Lisa K. Bryda and John A. Simon, No. 1, 137-148
Future Land Use and Remedy Selection, Ken Ogilvie, Jack Spicuzza, and Keith B. Johns, No. 4, 117-122
New Brownfields Initiative Eases Site Cleanups, John A. Simon and Kathleen C. Gibson, No. 3, 143-149

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN LAWS AND REGULATIONS
EPA Issues Several Superfund Administrative Reforms, Chris Bryant, No. 4, 123-125

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN POLICY AND SCIENCE
Cost and Performance Data for Site Remediation, Catherine A. Fox, No. 4, 127-131

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN REGULATIONS
EPA Issues Draft Superfund Soil Screening Levels, Chris Bryant, No. 3, 151-155
Superfund Efficiency Driven by Administrative Improvements, Not Legislation, Chris Bryant, No. 2, 145-148

REMEDIAL ACTION PLANS
Getting Remedial Action Plans Approved by State Agencies, Thomas K. Maurer, No. 2, 15-20

RISK-BASED TARGETING
Literature reviewed
National Sediment Contaminant Point Source Inventory: Analysis of Release Data for 1992, EPA, Office of Science and Technology, No. 2, 149-154

SEAMIST BOREHOLE LINER SYSTEM
Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of the SEAMIST Borehole Liner System, Anne D. Henriksen, No. 2, 113-137

SOIL CONTAMINATION
Cement-Based Solidification/Stabilization of Lead-Contaminated Soil at a Utah Highway Construction Site, Charles M. Wilk and Raghu Arora, No. 3, 103-110
Land Treatment and the Toxicity Response of Soil Contaminated with Wood Preserving Waste, Scott G. Huling, Daniel F. Pope, John E. Matthews, Judith Sims, Ronald C. Sims, and Darwin L. Sorensen, No. 2, 41-55
Vacuum Pyrolysis Process for Remediation of Hydrocarbon-Contaminated Soils, Christian Roy, Bruno de Caumia, Daniel Blanchette, Hooshang Pakdel, Genevihve Couture, and Annette E. Schwerdtfeger, No. 1, 111-130

Literature reviewed
In Situ Bioremediation of Contaminated Unsaturated Subsurface Soils, Sims, Sims, DuPont, Matthews, and Russell, No. 1, 150

SOIL REMEDIATION
Alternatives to Incineration in Remediation of Soil and Sediments Assessed, Jurgen H. Exner, No. 3, 1-18
Case Study: On-Site Remediation of Soil and Groundwater for a Michigan Town's Water Supply, John Patterson, No. 4, 73-82
Low Intervention Soil Remediation Approaches, Ralph J. Portier, Kimberly K. Barton, and John Koury, No. 2, 57-75

SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION LABORATORY DATA
Analysis of Soil Vapor Extraction Laboratory Data To Obtain Equilibrium Constants, Herbert E. Klei, Christopher R. Klemmer, David L. Bramley, Michael D. Curtis, and Gary Kennett, No. 3, 79-87

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS
Getting Remedial Action Plans Approved by State Agencies, Thomas K. Maurer, No. 2, 15-20

STATE RISK REDUCTION PROGRAMS
Assessing Cleanup Levels for Industrial Sites under State Risk Reduction Programs, Amiram Roffman and Haia Roffman, No. 3, 19-32

STIGMA DAMAGES
Stigma Damages: Expect Déjà Vu All Over Again, Diane R. Smith, Robert J. Gibson, and M. Sean Sherlock, No. 4, 29-36

SUPERFUND
Case Study: Conducting an Accelerated Cleanup at a Superfund Site in a Mixed Residential and Industrial Area, Steven J. Padovani, Ray Mastrolonardo, and Mary Joyce Freibert, No. 4, 105-115